UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,879	05/10/2006	Earl M. Zergiebel	2909 US	2518
50855 Tyco Healthcar	7590 06/29/200 e Group LP	EXAMINER		
60 MIDDLETC	OWN AVENUE	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
NORTH HAVE	IN, C1 004/3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/560,879	ZERGIEBEL, EARL M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Pedro Philogene	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	,	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Nation of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment (I	OTOL 224\
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpilant Amendment (i	-10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17,19-22 and 25-32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO/00/00) Dan an Na/a)		
12.	P10/56/06) Paper No(s)		
	/Pedro Philogene/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3733	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's atated that the combination of Sanders/Reed did not teach "the driver receiving structure being configured for receiving both a linear and a rotational force". the examiner begs to differ. First, with regard to the recitation that an element is "configured to" perform a function, it has been held that the recitation is not a positive limitation but requires the ability to so perform. it does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. Second, the driver receiving structure of Reed is fully capable of receiving both a linear and a rotational force. While, a rotational force is applied to the flat of the head of Reed a linear or axial force is downwardly applied to the flat at the same time to push the screw down. Therefore, contrary to applicant's argument the screw head of Reed is fully capable of receiving a rotational and a linear force. Furthermore, applicant stated that Becker lacks any disclosure or suggestion that, in any proper combinaion with Sanders and/or Reed, discloses a or suuggests at least a pair resilient force trnasmitting arms that provide or allow "a partial passage for the fastener threrthrough". Again the Examiner begs to differ, contrary to applicant's arguments, it is not the claw 7 that is rigid or immovable, it is instead the Lug 5, that is regid and immovable. The the screw head is inserted through the opening 8 in claw 7 and retains theref by the claw 7. therefore, there must be some resiliency thereof to allow the screw head to pass through the opening and there must also be some force transmitted thereon to hold the screw head in place before screwing. Applicant's arguments are not foud to be persuasive, and the examiner maintains the final rejection.

/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner 3733